Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating
Summer 2022

Davidov, Yael - YD209
Intro Math Reasoning - 01:640:300:T6
Survey Form: *Standard SIRS

Enrollment: 18
Responses Received: 10

University-wide Instructor Questions

Weight of responses: 1=SD (Strongly Disagree), 2=D (Disagree), 3=N (Neutral), 4=A (Agree), 5=SA (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
The instructor Yael Davidov was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.	0	0	0	3	7	10	**4.70**	4.70	4.45	4.48
The instructor Yael Davidov responded effectively to student comments and questions.	0	0	0	3	7	10	**4.70**	4.70	4.44	4.44
The instructor Yael Davidov generated interest in the course material.	0	0	1	2	7	10	**4.60**	4.60	4.29	4.28
The instructor Yael Davidov had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	0	0	0	1	9	10	**4.90**	4.90	4.59	4.46
The instructor Yael Davidov assigned grades fairly.	0	0	0	3	7	10	**4.70**	4.70	4.41	4.25
The instructional methods of Yael Davidov encouraged student learning.	0	0	0	5	5	10	**4.50**	4.50	4.32	4.26

Teaching Effectiveness

Weight of responses: 1=P (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	P	F	A	G	E	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor Yael Davidov as:	0	0	0	3	6	9	**4.67**	4.67	4.50	4.31

University-wide Course Questions

Weight of responses: 1=SD (Strongly Disagree), 2=D (Disagree), 3=N (Neutral), 4=A (Agree), 5=SA (Strongly Agree), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
I learned a great deal in this course.	0	0	1	2	7	10	**4.60**	4.60	4.30	4.19
I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	0	0	1	4	5	10	**4.40**	4.40	3.78	3.38

	SD	D	N	A	SA	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
Given the content and level of the course, the course workload was manageable.	1	1	0	5	3	10	**3.80**	3.80	4.14	4.01
The course site used for this course, whether in Canvas, Sakai, or Blackboard, was well organized.	0	0	0	2	8	10	**4.80**	4.80	4.47	4.31
The instructions given for assignments, exams, quizzes, and other course activities were clear and easy to understand.	1	0	1	2	6	10	**4.20**	4.20	4.29	4.20

Course Quality

Weight of responses: 1=P (Poor), 2=F (Fair), 3=A (Average), 4=G (Good), 5=E (Excellent), Resp=Number of Student Responses
Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

	P	F	A	G	E	Resp	Section	Course	Level	Dept
I rate the overall quality of the course as:	0	1	1	3	5	10	**4.20**	4.20	4.24	4.02

What do you like best about this course?

These comments are intended for all instructors.

Comments
EVerything.
Formulating the logic behind how we define mathematical objects we've worked with since high school

If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

These comments are intended for all instructors.

Comments
Nothing.
I would give better feedback, offer resubmissions on more homeworks, allow the opportunity for students to do exam corrections, space out the mastery quizzes a bit and not make exams feel incredibly long.

In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor Yael Davidov encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

These comments are unique to the instructor Yael Davidov.

| Comments |
|---|
| Good explanations of concepts |
| She is always there to answer questions and gives a lot of extra time to help students. |

Other comments or suggestions:

These comments are intended for all instructors.

Comments
N/A
I think the course is fair but could be better for students. The averages on homeworks and exams being in the 70's or 60's doesn't seem logical.

## Questions added for: *Standard SIRS

Weighted Means: Section, Course, Level, Department

The lecturer posted content that helped me understand the topics covered in the online lectures.

Strongly Disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (0)	0.00%
Agree (4)	40.00%
Strongly Agree (6)	60.00%
[Total (10)]	

Section	Course	Level	Dept
4.60	4.60	4.32	4.14

The recitation/workshop instructor posted content that helped me understand the topics covered in the online recitations/workshops.

Strongly Disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (0)	0.00%
Agree (2)	25.00%
Strongly Agree (6)	75.00%
[Total (8)]	

Section	Course	Level	Dept
4.75	4.75	4.21	4.08

I was glad to take this course in an online format; for me it is the preferred format for this course.

Strongly Disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (0)	0.00%
Agree (2)	25.00%
Strongly Agree (6)	75.00%
[Total (8)]	

Section	Course	Level	Dept
4.75	4.75	4.10	3.81